Report #: 10080800104 Page 1 of 13 **Factory Evaluation** 

### **Dollar General Factory Evaluation**

Factory Audit:		Yao Zhen Hua.		
Address:	Xia An Cun Sl	ni Chang, 2 Yao I	Nan Road, Gao	o Yao , Zhao Qing City, Guangdong Province, China
Telephone:	86- 758- 8359666 Facsimile: 86-758 -8356171			
E-mail Address:		nen@21cn.com		
Vendor:	Hosberg Grou			(P.O. No.: IN3K0R)
Type of Audit:	X Initial Qua Follow-up Other (Ple		Λ	Previous Report #: <u>N/A</u>
				, please mark N/A. Photos MUST be included. ed if form is incomplete or photos are not included
<u>Level</u>	Description	Result		<b>Definition</b>
Green	Certified Vendor 81-100%			1-YEAR EXEMPTION
Yellow	Certified Vendor 61-80%	<u>X</u> (87.9%)	v	6-MONTH EXEMPTION Vendor responsible for re-audit fees
**	Critical items		"NO" for an failure. No a	arked with **are critical items. A mark of my of these items will result in immediate audit score will be assigned when any of the as result in certification being denied.
	**Probation		In	nmediate corrective action required.
Yellow	level 1			Re-audit within 120 days.
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	**-		In	nmediate corrective action required.
Red	**Probation level 2			Re-audit within 60 days.
Red	under 61%			NON-CERTIFIED
Red	**DENIED		productio	ory cannot be used for any Dollar General on, now or in the future. Either violations of or, forced labor or transshipping are noted.
	PASS	X		FAIL
Date of Audit:	Tune 1'	7 2008	Andito	r: Simon Oin

The above reflects our findings for the particular factory in concern on the date of our service only. This report does not certify, confirm or imply: a) compliance with any government, industry or association regulations or standards, unless stated otherwise; or, b) the quality of any specific products manufactured by the factory/sellers/suppliers; or, c) the shipment of any specific products. This report does not discharge or release the factory/sellers/suppliers from their commercial, legal or contractual obligations with buyers in respect of products manufactured by the factory/sellers/suppliers. Our services, including reports and certificates, are subject to the General Conditions of Service of Bureau Veritas which have been sent to your company. They can be resent upon written request. This report cannot be partially copied. Any reader other that the party for which this report has been specifically issued is hereby informed that the General Conditions of Service of Bureau Veritas contain liability limitation provisions.

Revised on the 9<sup>th</sup> of February 2004: July 26, 2006 version.

Report #: 10080800104 Page 2 of 13 Factory Evaluation

COMPANY ORGANIZATION		Met	Duri	ng Audi	t?
Managing Director/ General Manager		Yes:		No:	X
Sales Manager/ Marketing Manager		Yes:		No:	X
Plant Manager	Mr. Guan Quanhui	Yes:	X	No:	
HR Supervisor	Mr. Huang Lixiong	Yes:	X	No:	
Production Manager/ Factory Manager	•	Yes:		No:	X
Factory has been in operation since: Name and title of person interviewed:	August 1, 2007 Mr. Guan Quanhui/Plant Ma	nnager			
PRODUCTION INFORMATION Monthly Production Capacity:	4,500,000 Required L	ead Time	:	25 day	'S
Main Products Produced:	Naugh	ty Coal			
Certifications Is the factory certified to any internation WRAP etc.,? If yes, please specify: N/A Certification Body: N/A	-	, Yes	:	No:	X

## **Physical Plant**

1. 2. 3.	Masonry Steel Number of Floors	1 building 8 buildings 8 buildings with 1 floor for production and warehouse, 1 building with 3 floors for dormitory and canteen.	Yes: Yes: Yes:	X X X	No: No: No:	
	Total area (Square Feet / Meter)	7,300 square meters				
4. 5.	Light Source HVAC	Fluorescent light	Yes: Yes:	X	No: No:	X
6.	Fire Equipment	96 sets fire extinguishers and 14 sets fire hydrants	Yes:	X	No:	

Report #: 10080800104 Page 3 of 13 Factory Evaluation

7. First Aid Equipment First aid kits Yes: X No:

Report #: 10080800104 Page 4 of 13 Factory Evaluation

Major Machinery:	Manuf Date:	Quantity:	Remarks:
Shattering machine		1 set	
Molding machine		3 sets	
Drying stove		1 set	
Packing machine		4 sets	

	Staff	Full Time	Part Time	Temporary / Seasonal	Contract
1.	Total no. of	82			
	employees				
2.	Shattering	5			
3.	Molding	12			
4.	Drying	4			
5.	Packing	40			
6.	Clerical	3			
7.	Mechanics &	5			
	Engineering Staff				
8.	Supervisors	4			
9.	QC	5			
10.	Others	4			

Obtain iter	n output, l	<b>y category,</b> Year <u>N/A</u>	_	s two years. Year <u>N/A</u>	<u>.                                    </u>		
Category	N/A	_ Quantity	N/A	_ Category _	N/A	Quantity	N/A
Category		_ Quantity		_ Category _		Quantity	
Category		_ Quantity		_ Category _		Quantity	
Category		Quantity		Category		Quantity	
Category		Quantity		Category		Quantity	

Report #: 10080800104 Page 5 of 13 Factory Evaluation

# Does factory sub-contract or use off-site facilities for Dollar General products?

List Name	e and Address:	
Name: Address:	-	N/A
	_	
	-	
Name:		N/A
Address:	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
Name:		N/A
Address:		
	<u>-</u>	
	-	
	-	
Does fact	ory engage in	multi-country processing? Yes: No: X
If Yes, obforms.	otain copies of	relevant government approval, or licenses and a set of appropriate
List majo	or U.S. custom	ers of factory:
Name:	Dollar Gene	ral
Name:		
Name:		
Name:		
i vario.		<del></del>
List any	firms whose p	roduction was observed during visit:
Name:	Tesco	
Name:		
Name:	-	
Name:		
Name:		

Report #: 10080800104 Page 6 of 13 Factory Evaluation

٨	FΛ	CII	TT	ES
<b>A</b> .	1 /A			,

1.	Is the plant layout generally acceptable for manufacturing?	Yes: X No:	
2.	Is overall maintenance of the premises acceptable?	Yes: X No:	
3.	Is the general housekeeping acceptable?	Yes: No: X	
4.	Is potentially dangerous plant equipment regularly checked for safety by a competent and trained person?	Yes: No: X	
5.	Are machines/ equipment periodically maintained, calibrated and checked to run efficiently?	Yes: X No:	
6.	Are available machines/ equipment/ fixtures suitable to produce client products?	Yes: X No:	
7.	Do they possess telephone, fax and Internet capabilities and are they fully operational?	Yes: X No:	
Ratin	g: Facilities: Score 1 Point for each item marked "Yes".	Total: 5/7	
Ratin Item: 3	<ul> <li>#: Remarks: <ul> <li>The factory had not established and implemented proper for materials and goods. Some incoming materials, semifinished goods were not properly identified.</li> <li>Some production areas and warehouse areas were untidy, workshop, molding workshop, packing workshop, incom semi-finished goods warehouse, etc.</li> <li>During this audit, water cups were placed on some works production areas, such as packing workshop, etc.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	identification system finished goods and such as shattering ing goods warehouse, stations in some	

Report #: 10080800104 Page 7 of 13 Factory Evaluation

# **B. QUALITY CONTROL SYSTEM**

1.	Are the QC staff independent from the production staff?  Number of QC staff 5	Yes: X No:
2.	Does inspector keep specific inspection records by type of defect, frequency, date?	Yes: X No:
3.	Are these records used to improve quality?  Please explain how: Inspection defects were charted and analyzed by QC department for root causes, and preventive and corrective actions would be taken to improve the problems encountered.	Yes: X No:
4.	Do inspectors conduct spot-checking in accordance with specifications (measurements/ weight, etc) during In-process or Final inspection?	Yes: X No:
5.	Do they test functionality of item?	Yes: X No:
6.	Types of Final inspections: (If no final inspection, please select "N 100 % Inspection Yes: No: Selected samples Yes: X	0")
7.	Are rejected pieces 100 % re-inspected by inspector after repair or the rejected pieces discarded?	Yes: No: X
Ratin	g: Quality Control System: Score 1 Point for each item marked "Yes"	. Total: 6/7
<b>Item</b> 6	About 3~5% of lot size would be sampled for Final inspection sample less than 2.5%.	-
7	No record was available to prove the rejected pieces were 100 inspector after repair or discarded directly.	0% re-inspected by

Report #: 10080800104 Page 8 of 13 Factory Evaluation

## C. GOOD EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR PRACTICES

**1.	Is the factory in compliance with Dollar General's Child Labor Policy?(The minimum age for employment is 16 years of age.) If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**2.	(In case of insufficient documentation regarding proof of age, please tick.   Does the review of their documentation confirm there is no evidence that the factory uses any forced or involuntary labor, whether in the form of prison, bonded, indentured or otherwise?  If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**3.	Are there sufficient exits for an emergency and are doors opened and /or unlocked during working hours? If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**4.	Are there adequate fire extinguishers on site?  If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**5.	Does a review of their documentation confirm that there is no trans-shipping going on in the factory?  If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**6.	Is the factory in compliance as required by the applicable country laws in regards to regular and overtime pay rates? If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**7.	Are the workers given at least one day rest per 7 day period? If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**8.	Is the factory following the legal limit on the number of annual overtime hours worked?  If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	Yes: X No:
**9.	Is a needle replacement policy in effect? Explain policy:	Yes: No: N/A: X
	If "No" certification MUST be DENIED!	•
10.	Is there heat and/ or ventilation available in the factory?	Yes: X No:
11.	Does the machinery have emergency shut off switches?	Yes: X No:

Report #: 10080800104 Page 9 of 13 Factory Evaluation

### C. GOOD EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR PRACTICES CON'T

c. Gc	JOD ENII L	OTHERT AND LABORTRACTICES CON T			
12.	Are there ac	lequate functioning toilet facilities?	Yes: X No:		
13.	Is fresh drir	king water readily available?	Yes: X No:		
14.	Do any emp	ployees live on site?	Yes: X No:		
15.	Is the condi	tion of the living quarters acceptable for the area?	Yes: X No: N/A:		
16.	Are there se	eparate dining facilities?	Yes: X No:		
17.	Is the condi	tion of the dining facilities acceptable for the area?	Yes: X No: N/A:		
18.	Are there m	edical facilities on site?	Yes: No: X		
19.	Are there m	edical facilities within 30 miles?	Yes: X No:		
20.	Are there adequate first aid kits available throughout the factory?  Yes: X No:   No:				
	g: Good Em narked "Yes	ployment and Labor Practices: Score 1 Point for e	each Total: 18/19		
critica	al items will	h a ** are considered critical items. A mark of 'N result in immediate audit failure. No audit score v items result in certification being denied.			
Item #	<b>#:</b>	Remarks:			
	Based on 5 randomly selected employees' attendance records from April 01, 2008 to the audit date June 17, 2008, no violation regarding overtime hours was found. 5 randomly sampled employees were interviewed to confirm this.  - For reference, the factory did not have the approval of Comprehensive Working Hours System or Overtime Waiver from local labor bureau.				
		<ul><li>confirm this.</li><li>For reference, the factory did not have the approva</li></ul>	al of Comprehensive		
	18	confirm this For reference, the factory did not have the approvation Working Hours System or Overtime Waiver from least	al of Comprehensive		
	18 19	<ul><li>confirm this.</li><li>For reference, the factory did not have the approva</li></ul>	al of Comprehensive ocal labor bureau.		

Report #: 10080800104 Page 10 of 13 Factory Evaluation

Factory Name:
Address:
Zhao Qing Gao Yao Zhen Hua Jiaju Company Limited.
Xia An Cun Shi Chang, 2 Yao Nan Road, Gao Yao , Zhao Qing City,
Guangdong Province, China

X Initial Audit
Follow-Up Audit
Others (N/A)

SUMMARY OF POINTS		
	Maximum Points Available	Total Points Achieved
A. Facilities	7	5
B. Quality Control System	7	6
C. Good Employment and Labor Practices	19	18
	Total Points Achieved: % Score:	29 87.9%
	PASS X FAIL	

#### **SOCIAL AUDIT**

Items marked with a \*\* are considered critical items. A mark of 'No' for any of these critical items will result in immediate audit failure. No audit score will be assigned when any of the critical items result in certification being denied.

Revised on the 26th July 2006.

Report #: 10080800104 Page 11 of 13 Factory Evaluation

### **Suggested Corrective Action**

- 1. The factory should improve its housekeeping. (E.g. properly store and identify all the materials and goods in production areas and warehouse, water cups should not be brought into production area).
- 2. All potentially dangerous plant equipment should be regularly checked for safety by a competent and trained person.
- 3. Rejected pieces should be 100% re-inspected by inspector after repair or discarded directly.

#### Remark:

C6: Based on randomly selected 5 employees' payroll records and attendance records from April 2008 to May 2008, no violation regarding regular and overtime pay rates was found. 5 randomly sampled employees were interviewed to confirm this.

C7: Based on randomly selected 5 employees' attendance records from April 01, 2008 to the audit date June 17, 2008, no violation regarding one day rest per 7 days period was found. 5 randomly sampled employees were interviewed to confirm this.

The factory was established on August 01, 2007. However, the factory started to produce on April 01, 2008. So the factory could only provide employees' attendance records and relevant production records from April 01, 2008 to the audit date (June 17, 2008); and payroll records from April 2008 to May 2008. (For details refer to attached statement)

**Bureau Veritas Hong Kong Ltd.** 

# **Digital Photo Records**



Report #: 10080800104 Page 13 of 13 Factory Evaluation

